

# UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/049,161 03/26/98 CHISHOLM G 002240.P015D **EXAMINER** PM82/0321 MARIA MCCORMAK SORBINO PAPER NUMBER BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR AND ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 3661 DATE MAILED: 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES CA 90025 03/21/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	App	olication No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		049,161	CHISHOLM ET AL.
		miner	Art Unit
		a Hernandez	3661
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status			
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>12 Febru</u>	<u>ary 2001</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This act	tion is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-20</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>			
14)  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).			
Attachment(s)			
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing  17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT	Review (PTO-948) O-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/049,161

Art Unit: 3661

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 11, 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wilson et al, US Patent No. 6,140,957.

As per claim 11, Wilson et al discloses the same invention claimed by the applicant. Wilson et al teaches a GPS receiver (figure 4b) and how to display the guidance and orientation information and the desired position of the structure/vehicle (column 4). Although Wilson et al does not disclose a system bus, it is inherent that it exists. Otherwise the GPS system would not operate.

As per claim 12, it is inherent that the communication system is coupled to a GPS receiver and to a system bus, it can not work. Further, whether the communication system does not provide a link between a GPS receiver and a microprocessor, it can not work, either.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/049,161

Art Unit: 3661

٠ ن

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson et al, US Patent No. 6,140,957.

As per claim 13, the use of a two-way communication system is old and well known in the art.

As per claim 14, the use of an optical communication system is old and well known in the art.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Josten et al., US Patent no. 5,907,111 discloses a remotely controlled sensor apparatus for use in Dig-Face characterization system.

Application/Control Number: 09/049,161

Art Unit: 3661

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-0918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William A. Cuchlinski can be reached on (703) 308-3873. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Olga Hernandez Examiner Art Unit 3661

March 13, 2001

WILLIAM A CUCHLINSKI, JR. SUPERVEYER PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600